Case 3:19-cr-00651-CRB Document 8 Filed 12/30/19 Page 1 of 1

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION

DEC 182019
- 01/64.
SUSAN Y SOONG PORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
OF CALIFORNIA

United	d States	of America,)	Case No.	19-0650 R	25	OF CALIFOR
	v.	Plaintiff,	·)		TED ORDER E		IME
Is	mael	Martine 2 Defendant(s).)	AND WA	IVER UNDER	FRCP 5.1	
		Defendant(s).	, ,				*
Trial Act from	n <u>12</u> outweigl	to 1726 the best interest of the purcourt makes this finding an	blic and the	and finds to e defendant	hat the ends of just in a speedy tria	ustice served by 1. <i>See</i> 18 U.S.C	the
		e to grant a continuance we B U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)(i)		ely to result	in a miscarriage	e of justice.	
	defend or law	dants, the nature of the that it is unreasonable to within the time limits estab	he prosecu expect ade	tion, or	the existence ration for pretrice	e of novel quest	ions of fact or the trial
		e to grant a continuance we into account the exercise of					nsel,
	counse	e to grant a continuance we el's other scheduled case co B U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)(iv	ommitment		Ē.		
$\overline{\checkmark}$	Failure to grant a continuance would unreasonably deny the defendant the reasonable time necessary for effective preparation, taking into account the exercise of due diligence. See 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)(iv).						
	dispos paragr the tin extend	the consent of the defendant sition of criminal cases, the caph and — based on the pane limits for a preliminary ling the 30-day time period sions set forth above). See I	court sets arties' show hearing und for an ind	the preliming of good der Federal ictment und	nary hearing to t d cause — finds Rule of Crimina ler the Speedy T	he date set forth good cause for al Procedure 5.1 rial Act (based	n in the first extending and for
IT IS	SO OR	DERED.	T to	(20)	1	. 1	
DATE	ED:	12/18/19			DMAS S. HIXSO TED STATES MA ates Magistrate.	J. 4 /	È
				¥	1		
STIPU	JLATE!	D: Attorney for Defendant		Assistant	United States A	ttorney	
						5	